

Questionnaire: BAR GRAPH

The following survey was delivered to each residence in the Glade Community. Approximately a week later, we had received 58 responses. We approximate that the survey results represent 54 households, or just over 1/3rd of all Glade households.


The responses we received show a good random sampling of our community.

Here are some of the high points of the questionnaire from the 58 respondents:

- 100% believe that drinking water is a priority
- 96% agree Glade should have a strong influence on any resource extraction
- 84% do not have confidence that KLC plans will keep Glade water safe
- 68% think that KLC will not leave our hiking trails and waterfall intact
- 95% are very concerned about roads in the watershed
- 74% agree or are neutral in regards to KLC being valued as an important member of the Kootenay community
- 96% believe that forested watersheds best protect our water
- 97% agree that the watershed would benefit from restoration work
- 91% agree that we need a community meeting with KLC
- 95% agree that all watersheds should be protected, like Vancouver and Victoria
- 97% agree that the Glade Watershed Protection Society is working to protect the water on behalf of Glade residents


The following is the result of that survey in bar graph form with the two highest selected options in percentage points.

1. Drinking water is a priority for Glade residents. Strongly Agree & Agree= 100%




2. I feel that Glade residents should have a strong influence and direct input on what and how logging – or any resource extraction - is conducted in the watershed that provides us with our drinking water.

Strongly Agree & Agree= 96%




3. I believe that the quality and quantity of water within a watershed is largely a function of the intact forest cover and that water quality is maintained best in forested watersheds. Strongly Agree & Agree = 96%



4. I am aware of Kalesnikoff's plans for logging in the Glade Watershed: *this question was omitted because there was some confusion answering it. Respondents were unsure if it meant they knew there were plans to log or if they approved or disapproved of the plans themselves.*


5. I have confidence that Kalesnikoff's plans for logging in the Glade Watershed will keep Glade drinking water safe.

Disagree & Strongly Disagree = 84%




6. I believe that Kalesnikoff has listened to concerns about Glade watershed and drinking water and the company is doing everything it can to protect it.

Disagree & Strongly Disagree = 88%




7. I am aware that the hydrology report that Kalesnikoff commissioned, did not address the impact of roads or climate change on the potential for impact on the quality, quantity, or timing of flow for Glade creek.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 81%




8. I believe that Kalesnikoff will ensure that hiking trails and waterfalls in the Glade Watershed will be left intact.

Disagree & Strongly Disagree = 68%




9. I value Kalesnikoff Lumber Company as an important member of the local community.

Agree & Neutral = 74%




10. I am worried that the extra traffic from large lumber trucks will disrupt our quiet way of life in Glade and create a safety hazard for our residents, especially our children and elders.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 93%




11. I have concerns about other activities that will result because of the new roads that will be created for the lumber trucks (for example, increased numbers of motorized vehicles, and hunters) which can lead to landslide events, forest fires, and increased contamination of drinking water.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 95%




12. Cutblock 4 <http://www.protectgladewatershed.com/proposed-logging-kalesnikoff.html> is a sensitive, steep area directly above the water intake for Glade water system. I am concerned that cutting in this area will induce landslides, turbidity and greatly affect the flow, quantity and timing of the water.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 97%




13. Glade has a history of turbidity resulting from past events and forcing a boil water notice. I believe we should address the issues of turbidity and restoration of the watershed before Timber companies log and clear cut in the forest. Strongly Agree & Agree = 93%




14. I am aware that the large watersheds of Vancouver and Victoria have been re-protected and are safe from ANY industrial activity, but that here in the Kootenays almost all our watersheds are being logged. I believe that all Watersheds should be protected and that resource extraction should NOT occur in any community drinking water watersheds.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 95%




15. I am aware of the Glade Watershed Protection Society and I believe the group is working to protect the water on behalf of Glade residents.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 97%




16. I think that restoration work in the watershed would be a good option. This would be remedial work, using an ecosystem based management plan that could, in the long run, ensure clean, safe water for many years to come.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 97%



17. I think that more weight should be given to the value that the forest adds to the health and welfare of all life and that more consideration be given to how we care for the elements of nature that provide us with these benefits. This value is as important as, or even more important than economic gain, for without the forest ecosystems we cannot flourish.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 92%



18. A Community meeting needs to be held with KLC to ensure all information is being shared and concerns and questions addressed.

Strongly Agree & Agree = 91%

